

8 April Draft Opinion from DoJ - OMS Concerns

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

NR

Original Text of [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted] (b)(6)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Sent by: [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
04/11/2005 10:12 AM [redacted] (b)(6)

To:
cc:

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted] (b)(6)

Subject: 8 April Draft Opinion from DoJ - OMS Concerns

[redacted] (b)(5)

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
[redacted] (b)(6)

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(5)

Simply put,

OMS is not in the business of saying what is acceptable in causing discomfort to other human beings, and will not take on that burden.

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

(b)(5)

OMS did not

review
 or vet these techniques prior to their introduction, but rather came into
 this
 program with the understanding from your Office and DoJ that they were
 already
 determined as legal, permitted and safe. We see this current iteration as a
 reversal of that sequence, and a relocation of those decisions to OMS. If
 this
 is the case, that OMS has now the responsibility for determining a
 procedure's
 legality through its determination of its safety, then we will need to review
 all procedures in that light given this new responsibility.

(b)(5)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~